Review: Masterpieces of Fifty Centuries

BY RUTH STEINBERG

Masterpieces of Fifty Centuries, the Centennial exhibition showing at the Metropolitan Museum of Art through February 14, 1970, is, in both its attributes and erreurs, enormous. Five hundred works of art—almost entirely from the museum's own collections—fill thirty-five rooms. Although it has many striking flaws, the show succeeds in displaying three significant ideas: 1. that the Metropolitan has a magnificent collection, 2. that the line drawn between so-called "fine" arts and "minor" arts is often an artificial one, and 3. that the museum serves a very important function in preserving those centuries of culture that have withstood the ravages of man and nature.

Anyone who has enjoyed wandering through the Met's galleries will find that "Masterpieces" brings together many of the finest pieces of every collection. But in this "pick-of-the-pops" display really are the most advantageous method of presenting these fabulous works.

The exhibition's virtues and allusions are nearly overwhelming. Showing masterpieces (and in most cases, the overworked word has been aptly applied) in almost every medium from over five thousand years of civilization, East and West, proves to be too comprehensive to appreciate. But if you have the stamina, or better still, the opportunity to visit the exhibit on several occasions, you will be treated to pieces of each country's greatest artistic creations. The Standing Horse of the Yang Dynasty, A.D. 615-807, and Goya's Majas en un Balcony, 1819, are truly masterpieces and serve to enrich this fast-paced race through man's cultural development.

The installation of the show, however, is extremely disorienting, and so theatrically stag- ged as to look more like a display at Leibnitz or an exhibition in an art museum. The walls are painted nauseating shades of brown, red, purple, blue, and green which somehow overpower the more delicate works. The dramatically placed spotlights produce dreadful effects, especially on those pieces of sculpture where only one angle is chosen to be lit! This is especially noticeable in room 57 where the Greek Sphere and Aeroskepsis, c. 550 B.C., is in complete darkness.

But it is in room 52 that the most disturbing bravery is committed. Two semicircular glass display cases protrude from the wall and crowds of people gather to watch a revolving turntable in each case slowly rotate fifty magnificent examples of small sculpture that span the fifty centuries included in the show. The pieces are fixed in their positions and highlighted by individual lights—both features serving to destroy from the works. (This rule may be seen at the end of the show. It is wise to skip it till then, when the crowds are thinner.)

The two experimental galleries are simply unnecessary and annoying—like mauve. The first has an explanatory plaque written on the wall which is constantly repeated by a dressing voice from hidden speakers. The voice becomes more even more annoying if the display interests you enough to stay for a time period that exceeds one reading. The second room, "Response," has a twenty-minute tape of wistful reactions to the exhibit. The opinions for the most part are uncolorful, ill-stated, and inappropriate. However if you are lucky enough to visit that gallery between film showings you'll find the crowds very comfortable—perfect for a short rest.

It is most obvious that the creators of the show are making a point by placing Late Ch'in Dynasty and Persian vases (both c. 550 B.C.) in the same room. The point is redundant, but it exists, just the same. Somedurnal these juxtapositions are very ill-becoming, but more often they suggest a sort of tit-for-tat between East and West. More successful developmental insights are afforded by the juxtapositioning of whole rooms, rather than single pieces, of a person or style.

If the ever-quaking pace of the reach through the centuries or the museum's most annoying installation have not en- vironed you, you'll find that the works not only are fabulous, but that they entail every media. The elegant cyy- namic Brontë Bowl, A.D. XIX century, is no less a work of art ("fine art") than it is the magnificent marble Greek Grave Robber Girl with Dove, c. 480 B.C. Because the artist of Moen (c. 1146 A.D.) chose to work in ceramic, their work is cut less great because of this crowning of a minor art, but is greater because of the expert handling of the medium they chose. This may be said of the Chinese porcelains, medieval armor, and the Egyptian gold work. Although some pieces in the collection are works of art on a much smaller scale.

Room 52 of the exhibit shows photographs of destroyed or decorated works of art with the quotation (quilled, not recorded)—what a blemish. "Paintings. Bartholomew, Palma, Van. What can survive?" Mama love and art—those splendid weapons in the struggle against obscurantism."
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