what is the purpose of this book? a class reading of the text that i understand is being undertaken, but the way that it is being undertaken is, i think, quite unfortunate. the class is being led by a teacher who is very passionate and very knowledgeable about the text. however, the way that the class is being conducted is not very engaging or stimulating for the students. the teacher is emphasizing the importance of the text and the characters, but the students are not very interested in the discussion. i think that the teacher should try to make the class more interactive and engaging for the students.

the death of a president
by william manchester, harper
and rowe

the president's family

there is a huge amount of information about jfk's family in this book. i think that the author has done a good job of capturing some of the key moments in the family's life and how they were affected by jfk's presidency. however, there is a lot of repetition in the book, and some of the scenes are a bit too detailed. i think that a more concise approach would have been better.

the manchester blow-up

the book is very critical of lyndon johnson, who was jfk's vice president. i think that the author is trying to show that johnson was not a fit successor to jfk, but some of the criticism seems a bit excessive. i think that the author should have used more evidence to support his claims.

the passionate indictments

the author is very critical of the fbi and its role in jfk's assassination. i think that the author makes some strong points, but i also think that some of the claims are a bit exaggerated. i think that the author should have provided more evidence to support his claims.

the real question of dallas

the author presents the idea that dallas was not the location of the assassination. i think that the author's evidence is not very convincing. i think that the author should have presented more evidence to support his claims.
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