

Barnard Women Petition Governor

As a result of the New York Assembly's vote to repeal the liberalized New York State abortion law, women at Barnard are initiating a mass petition campaign to convince Governor Rockefeller to veto the repeal bill.

The petition is worded: "As students and newly enfranchised voters, as faculty, staff and administrators at Barnard College and Columbia University, we urge you, Governor Rockefeller, to veto any attempt to repeal the New York State abortion laws." Although the Governor has indicated that he will veto any repeal bill, it was felt that additional pressure should be put on the Governor to counteract the extensive lobbying efforts of the 'right to life' group.

The petition is available now for signature in the Women's Center in Barnard Hall, the College Activities Office in McIntosh and the Barnard Bulletin Office, 107 McIntosh. It will also be circulated throughout the dormitories at Barnard and Columbia.

Although no plans have been finalized it is hoped that the petitions can be delivered in person to the Governor on Monday

Joint Trustee Committee Issues 'Discussion Memorandum'

By CAROL RICHARDS

Last Friday, the Joint Committee of Trustees of Barnard College and Columbia University issued its preliminary report entitled a 'Discussion Memorandum' offered title that had not been previously announced in a Senate Report issued in the fall. It also left the financial issue which is the major stumbling block be-

tween the two schools unanswered. A common question asked about the memorandum was why it was issued at all.

Probably the most controversial feature of the memorandum was its outlining of tenure procedures for Barnard faculty. The memorandum stated that,

the view that the new procedure was additionally unfair because two sets of criteria would be applied in judging the candidate for tenure or promotion. She felt that despite the emphasis put on teaching ability at Barnard it would not be as important a criterion to the ad hoc committee. Ms. Stimpson further questioned how a committee composed of non-Barnard faculty members could judge the teaching ability of a Barnard faculty member. It was also stated that the ad hoc committee has no objective way of collecting evidence of teaching ability. She felt that even

News Analysis

Freshmen Offer Complaints, Advice

Folled and questioned innumerable times during their first year here, a few freshmen were once again asked for their opinions, this time on an end-of-the-year favorite, "What do you think of your first year at Barnard?"

Although it was apparently an inopportune time to solicit opinions concerning school, with paper deadlines and exams waiting grimly around the corner, a small group of freshmen did consent to discuss their experiences at Barnard. Although most of the comments were familiar complaints, some con-

structive criticisms evoked in reactions to academic life at Barnard were surprisingly varied.

"I found that I was not at all prepared for the work at Barnard, although I went to a rather demanding high school. The work load here is heavy and I found I had to work all the time to keep up."

"I don't think Barnard is anywhere near as demanding as I had expected. I think they were not having more requirements, especially for undirected people."

"Although there is incredible

freedom with housing rules, the academic aspect is too structured. Too much emphasis is put on grades and requirements."

This last comment was disputed though. "I don't think there's much emphasis on grades. No one cares about your grades but yourself." But a few girls felt that the pressure for grades came from the other students, not from the professors. "The teachers don't really care what sort of grades you get as long as they think you understand the work. But I know girls who will beg a professor for the few points between a B and a B+." It is difficult to compare around here because there are so many other students who are willing to go to ridiculous lengths for a better grade.

Compared to the academic aspect most freshmen found the social life very free and easy going. I think the freedom we have as far as housing rules is very valuable. Without this freedom, it's difficult to form a sense of personal responsibility. In schools with strict housing rules, the students spend all their time figuring out how to break or get around the rules and the authorities have to spend all their time trying to enforce them."

Although most students were relatively happy with dorm life there were a few complaints. In BHR there's no place where you can meet and talk except in the basement. It would be so much easier to meet and get to know people on the floor if there were somewhere where you could sit and talk. I don't see why they can't convert one room on each floor into a lounge, as they do at Columbia."

A single remark about the social life at Barnard seemed to sum it up fairly well. "There is no social life as such. You make your own social life here. There aren't many things going on."

(Continued on Page 2)

Plans Underway for Coed Orientation

By JILL WOOLMAN

The Columbia-Barnard joint orientation, for the incoming class of 1976, will be held Friday, September 1 through Monday, September 4. Plans are "enthusiastically underway," according to Barnard coordinator, Dulce Chicon. Working with her are Columbia coordinators, Ray Vastala and Rich Gualtieri and a committee of both Barnard and Columbia students.

The orientation program will be completely coed with the exception of housing. All excursions, tours, four parties and activities are cosponsored by both colleges. Special activities will include a Saturday afternoon reception and dessert hour honoring both Barnard President Martha Peterson and Columbia President William McGuff, a picnic, an outdoor dance to be held in a tent on South Field in conjunction with the open house at Barnard. Activities of Bartley, Livingston and John Jay Halls, theatre parties to *Sitka* and *Boes* and *Two Gentlemen of Verona* and an open house at Barnard.

In addition to the social activities, seminars have been scheduled on Wednesday evenings. Faculty members Academic Morning will be replaced by Student-Academic Counseling Sessions during which the students and freshmen will get together to discuss the major fields of study available at Barnard and Columbia, including

information on courses and professors. The sponsors will be following certain established guidelines, but it is hoped that this format will contribute to a relaxed, peer to peer relationship.

Tours of New York sites will once again be available for the out-of-town students, but all travelers for the regular or local students will be football and softball games, frisbee throwing and swimming in both college pools. After Orientation officially ends on Monday, extra activities scheduled are the Circle Lane Tour of Manhattan and a Holy House excursion. BOSS and Mac Ac have planned programs for Tuesday and Wednesday to fill in the time before classes begin on Wednesday.

Heavy responsibility for the success of the upcoming orientation will be with the 150 staff members from Barnard and 90 from Columbia. To fully inform the sponsors of their duties and a quartet with their own schedules, a special sponsor orientation will be held two days before the freshmen arrive, August 30 and 31. The staff will discuss the regular orientation plans, BOSS and LASO are sponsoring special activities for incoming black and Latin students. Plans for orientation programs to be sponsored by and for A. an students have not as yet been finalized.



Catharine Stimpson

In the case of future nominations from Barnard for appointment to promotion to assistant associate professor or appointment to a full professorship the Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs shall convene a five person University level ad hoc committee to review the nomination and render advice to the President of the University as to whether the appointment should be made. The report further stipulates that the ad hoc committee consist of at least two Barnard faculty members.

The report names three procedures that could be taken if the ad hoc Committee declines to recommend the nominated faculty member for tenure. The unfavorable decision can be made by the President of Barnard or the appointment or promotion; not be made the President of Barnard can ask the President of the University to appoint another ad hoc committee if it is believed that there is evidence of some procedural defect or irregularity, or request the President of the University to recommend to the University President that he disregard the ad hoc committee's advice and recommend to the President that the promotion or appointment be made.

At a faculty staff meeting on the number of junior faculty members expressed their disapproval of the proposed tenure procedure. They feel that under the new procedure there will be under a sort of double jeopardy because they in essence, have to be tried twice in order to receive promotion or tenure. Actually the person will have to be approved three times because the department must initiate the proceedings. From the department the proposal for tenure or promotion will go to the Barnard Committee on Appointments/Tenure and Promotion (ATP) and finally to the ad hoc committee.

Assistant Professor of English Catharine Stimpson expressed



Robert McCaughey

though there would be two Barnard members of the ad hoc committee they would still be outnumbered.

An Assistant Professor of History Robert McCaughey told Bulletin that he viewed the situation more optimistically. He felt that the ad hoc committee would take into account the differences in the situations that Barnard and Columbia faculty members have to confront. Barnard faculty members have a

(Continued on Page 2)

Food Service Chief to Leave

Ms. Eleanor Smith, Director of Food Services at Barnard College will leave Barnard at the close of this semester. This will conclude 17 years of service to the school. In a statement to Bulletin Ms. Smith noted that she was offered a position as an assistant to the supervisor from Servation, the catering firm which will begin handling meal services next semester. Ms. Smith felt that she might come into conflict with the new supervisor over certain maintenance standards.

Ms. Smith also indicated that her new job with Servation would have entailed a 40% decrease in salary.

A meeting was held on May 9 to acquaint Barnard personnel with the new service. No final decision has been made with Servation, however as to the retention of present employees.

Publication Notice

This is the last issue of Bulletin for the school year 1971-72.

Bulletin can always use reporters, reviewers, photographers and people to do lay-out. We hope that all will think of joining us in the fall.



Freshmen . . .

(Continued from Page 1) around campus, movies and parties, but there's no single "in place" to go. Everyone can do what he wants because there is such a variety of things to do around here."

One student objected that the atmosphere is too tense. "People seem too embarrassed to meet other people. When you walk down the street, people avoid your eyes."

Relations with Columbia was discussed as an important part of social life. Although most students felt social relations with Columbia men were open enough, they complained that their only level of contact with Columbia men was social. "I've only had about two or three Columbia guys in all of my classes put together. You get sick of meeting guys on a strictly social level all the time. If there were more cross-listed classes, there would be more of a chance to talk to guys on an intellectual basis. An all-girl class is an unreal situation. The seminars at Columbia were an excellent remedy of this situation."

Living in New York City was also discussed, of course:

"I liked New York City a lot before I came here. Now I hate it."

"I like living in New York because there are so many places to go. But the trouble is you can't go to most of them alone. There aren't many places around here where you can just go casually with friends."

"It is dangerous, but if you're careful and use your head you'll probably get through with only one or two muggings."

"The scariest experience I ever had was when a cop tried to pick me up on a b.s."

Main complaints were about the meal plan, the medical service and the freshmen advisors. "I think more people would be willing to stay in BHR if it were the meal plan weren't compulsory."

"The medical service is too backed up and slow, especially the gynecologist. It's ridiculous how few hours the gynecologist is available."

"The freshmen advisors don't seem to know anything. They're perfectly willing to talk to you and look things up for you, but it seems like they shouldn't have to look everything up, they should be more well-informed."

Although most of the students were glad for the chance to air their complaints, all those questioned said they planned to come back to Barnard next year.

Book Review

The Female Dr. Johnson

By SARA SOLBERG

Every Other Inch a Lady: an autobiography by Beatrice L. Le. Doubleday, 360 pages, \$7.95.

Being funny has become Bea Lillie's living. One wonders how it is to live under the reputation of being "the funniest woman in the world." One wonders even what it is that makes one person "funnier" than another. And, in this age of high-blown scepticism, one wonders if the laughs produced are genuine or whether they aren't just the automatic human response to seeing laugh cards flashed at them (like Pavlov's dogs).

Is Bea Lillie genuine? The answer to the question is, I'm almost sure, yes; but I'm afraid I have to add that she is not an authoress. The trouble with writing one's own memoirs is that, unless one has complete sang-froid about such matters as modesty, accuracy, tact, etc., one is inevitably going to run up against one's own ego. Clearly, this book of Bea Lillie's was a major production — careful of possible libel suits, getting all the necessary copy-rights for quoted songs, etc. — and this fact creates a certain unease on the part of the reader. It is supposedly the book is written "like it was," straight from the shoulder, Annie Oakley-like, without pretension (since that's the way Bea Lillie seems to have consistently hated the most). Yet of course there was pretension in the writing of her memoirs — for one thing, it reveals that Bea Lillie is convinced as her fan world that she is the funniest woman alive, and, although perhaps this self-awareness might not affect the reader's reaction, I can't help feeling some of the humor got out through the author's ego. For another, the ups and downs of "the business" — i.e. show business: spell juggling, rivalry, petting, failures, triumphs, short and long-lived fame, and so on. There's no use hiding it, because we all know that show biz is a cut-throat world just as much as gangster Chicago in 1930.

Forgive my cynicism: I do love to sit around and quote that joint committee formed on the departmental, faculty (Committee on Instruction) and budgetary (University Subcommittee on the Budget) levels. Thus, those who are waiting for fast progress on the departmental level, may be in for some disappointment.

The Joint Committee of Trustees of Barnard and Columbia consists of Katharine Andriacolo, Wallace Jones, Martha Peterson and Catherine Woodbridge for Barnard, and Benjamin Batschelet, William J. McGill, Harold F. McGuire and Samuel R. Walker for Columbia University.

President Peterson has said that he would like to comment on the report should be so in writing to the Public Relations Office, Milbank Hall.

(Continued from Page 1)

greater teaching load and a generally lower pay scale.

The "discussion memorandum" also affirmed the curriculum arrangements approved by the Columbia Senate in September, 1971. The Senate report called for common access for the students of both Barnard and Columbia to the courses in each, but also left the degree requirements for each institution.

Registered in each college up to the Faculty of that college. This, in addition to the financial arrangements, seems to be the second big question that the Trustees Report leaves unanswered (and there is no way that we could have commented on this question without interfering in the province of the faculty). The report recommended

TO:
All Orientation Sponsors
 and
Committee Members
 AND STUDENTS
WHO WILL BE AT SCHOOL
BEFORE LABOR DAY

Bulletin

NEEDS PEOPLE TO WRITE
 FOR THE
ORIENTATION ISSUE
 IF YOU'RE INTERESTED

PLEASE CALL
CAROL RICHARDS
 OFFICE — X2119
 HOME — X4972

NO STAFF MEETING
 TODAY

TERMPAPERS

Now available in paperback and paperback editions. All authors. Price: a minimum \$5.48, duplex, 11000 S. York.

CALL TOLL FREE

available on the country for order information and sales.

800-639-0852

City Center (301) 656-6720
 EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH, INC.
 5330 Wisconsin Ave., # Suite 1600
 Rockville, Md. 20851

MAMA JOY'S

2892 BROADWAY
 Open 9 a.m. to 1 a.m.

FUTURE CPA'S

Learn Now About the
 next CPA Exam
Becker CPA Review Course
 Long Island 516 538-8176
 Newark 201 622-1313
 New York 212 751-4643
 White Plains 914 428-8017

YOUR SUCCESSFUL STUDENTS REPRESENT

1/5 OF USA

NEW COURSE BEGINS Dec. 2nd.

READs50 FASTER

1 week guaranteed course
 DOUBLE or TRIPLE your speed
 Understand more, retain more
 Nationally known professor
 Call for the brochure
READING SKILLS 864-5112

PAPADEM FLORIST

Flowers, Gifts & Candy
 Guarantee Top Quality
 At Lowest Prices In Area
 2913 BROADWAY
 BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11221 462-2300

Compus Air

Charter Flights
 TO LONDON AND PARIS
 Call JOEL or LINDA
 HA 4-4582, ext. 6371

NEW! XEROX COPY CENTER

XEROX

Two XEROX Shops
 2913 (B) Broadway
 114-115 Stevens
 1133 Amsterdam Ave.
 at 116 Street
 Phone: 666-4113

Best Service Anywhere

NEW SERVICE!
 Reductions on oversized MAPS, CHARTS, IBM Sheets, etcetera...

Open Daily 9AM - 7PM
 Saturday 9AM - 7PM

"Loose Ends, Burst Cartons and Bits of String"

Professor Theodore Gaster, Chairman of the Department of Religion is retiring at the end of the 1971 academic year. In an interview with Bulletin reporter David Walsh, Professor Gaster stated that in the future Barnard must be careful to retain its academic integrity and not allow economic and social considerations to influence its future. He is a total merger with Columbia.

Although he will teach Religion V1001 next fall Professor Gaster hopes to spend much of his time completing his two major works; one a translation of the poetic books of the Old Testament and another on reconstructing the mind of the ancient Near East.

"A lot of people think that when one retires, one's career is at last presented packaged and gift-wrapped, but this is not so. It is a mass of loose ends, burst cartons, and bits of string. One feels not that one has long stretches of time ahead, but that one has little time and too much to do in it.

"If one comes into college teaching with the idea of serving up courses for one's student's future benefit, of life then I suppose at the end one can stand like a French chef in the doorway of a steaming kitchen, wipe one's hands on one's apron, and congratulate oneself that the stuff was half-way edible.

"If, however, one has dreams as I had that one wasn't just going to teach a subject, but to open up a world, then the present climate of Universities in this country is a little sudden-

ing. What has mainly happened is that teachers have become technical instructors without a serious philosophy about the place of their subjects in the general map of cultural life. Subjects are therefore taught without the general frame of reference of the humanities as a whole, and there is more emphasis on teaching 'all about it' than on what it is all about. This, I think, is the real heart of the present unrest. It may come out in preoccupation with particular political or social issues, but basically what is lacking is any connection between the direct experiences of people today, and the whole heritage of what is taught in the traditional curriculum. This does not mean that no connection exists, but only that the University has tended to forget it in a greedy rush to cater to young people who mainly want a degree in order to qualify for more money. The catering to this need requires a plan which is expensive, that the real needs of an academic education tend to be sacrificed in order to pay for it. Sankaril will be sacrificed in luxury in order to meet the costs imposed by courses on 'the problems of the single girl'.

"One would hope that in the proposed realignment of Barnard/Columbia relations, more attention would be paid to re-establishing the values of scholarship and learning, and less paid to the balancing of the budget of the University. One should sacrifice the University to education, and not vice versa. The thing which disturbs me at



Prof. Theodore Gaster

present on my retirement is the sense that almost everyone is talking in terms of administration, and not education.

"All this sounds pessimistic. One might think that it is due to the climate of our times, but maybe it is due to the brushing of the wings of the Holy Spirit. I am extremely sanguine about the future because I realize that the present disturbance may be

simply the ruffling of the wa's of chaos by the breath of Creation. However absent the excess on of the unwe' way be, the absurdity must be counterbalanced by the healthy zeal of youth. I have enjoyed Barnard.

It has helped that some of the prettiest girls on campus have been in Religion V1001. It has helped more that some of the most intelligent have been there too. I shall certainly miss them, and I shall miss my colleagues, and the one thing I dread most is that when I might be pointed out to freshmen on the campus they might reply: 'Oh, I thought he died some years around the time of Dickens'.

"I always saw the program in Religion not as mere informational courses but as an attempt to present to students the exciting options suggested by other men's views of the world and man's place in it. At such, the program is perhaps the most exciting of all in the curriculum. I tried also to show students that religion was not just a veneer of churchiness but an attempt to give the human spirit which found expression equally in the arts and in several secular channels. In this field one's personal challenges are that one has to break down inherited stereotypes before one can get anywhere. It is also necessary to teach people what reality underlies metaphors and symbols. I shall feel rewarded if this or entreaty continues at Barnard."

Take 'Minor' Out of Minor Latham

By SONIA TAITZ

Not too much publicity is given to the Minor Latham Playhouse. In fact, many Barnard students don't know a thing about it. I don't know who I am to talk about it, actually. I spent fifteen minutes trying to find it on Thursday, only to find to see "Rye Bread" and "Sweeney Agonistes." But nobody else seemed to know either.

The playhouse is in Milbank, and you can get in through the door on the east wing of the building, or by walking east across the ground floor. It's worth finding even if you don't like the particular play that they're doing. For example, I enjoyed just being there, even though the first play "Rye Bread" (Kenneth Jones) was not exactly my cup of tea, what with its supposedly meaningful content, mother complexes, communication gaps and other timely things. It just didn't make it as far as I was concerned, although I might add that there were people in the audience that seemed to be getting something out of it. The point is that it didn't matter — the atmosphere made up for everything.

The theatre is small, cozy, and (let's not be euphemistic) deplorable, and that's really nice. I don't like big, slick looking theatres. The atmos-

phere is less intimate, and you realize after a while that they have to think about protests to keep it looking like that. The chances are very small that you'll get a play like "Sweeney Agonistes," the second play on Thursday's bill, in an audience pleasing, profit seeking theatre. And that's too bad, because T. S. Eliot wrote one hell of a play about the nature of his disarming clear view of reality ("death is life, life is death") that surfaces through the chatter of empty phrases. You get the feeling that the only interest of the Minor Latham theatre is theatre, and I'm not being redundant. The chief players is determined by artistic value, and if you differ with the powers that be at Minor Latham about what to put on, you can see you might agree the next. And, incidentally, the acting is great. The small cast filled the theatre with their intensity and sincerity.

See a play at the Minor Latham Playhouse. You'll have to like something about it — whether that means the theatre, the acting, or the play. But even if you're impossible to please (in which case I'll apologize for this article) at least you'll know where the theatre is, the next time someone asks.

LOWEST YOUTH FARES TO EUROPE

of any scheduled airline

FLY ICELANDIC'S DAILY SCHEDULED JETS FROM NEW YORK TO LUXEMBOURG IN THE HEART OF EUROPE.

\$165 YOUTH FARE. Ages 12 thru 25. Show proof of age. Add \$10 each way for Peak Season departures eastbound June 20 thru July 25 and westbound July 20 thru Aug. 31. Good for overseas stays of up to a year.

\$195 YOUTH FARE. Ages 26 thru 29. Show proof of age and enrollment in bona fide school. Add \$10 each way for Peak Season departures eastbound June 1 thru Aug. 31 and westbound July 1 thru Sept. 30. Good for overseas stays of up to a year.

\$125 one-way fare. Ages 12 thru 25. Show proof of age. No extra charges. For youths wishing to remain overseas more than a year, this fare is an exclusive with Icelandic and is less than half the comparable one-way fare of any other scheduled airline.

ICELANDIC AIRLINES, INC. 630 7th Avenue, N.Y. 10020
 Send folder ON Youth/Student fares to Europe.

Name: _____
 Street: _____
 City: _____
 State: _____ Zip: _____
 My travel agent is: _____

COLLEGIATE RESEARCH & ADVISORY SERVICE
 2 Sylvan St.
 Rutherford, N. J. 07070
 (201) 933-6117 (3979)
 "A COMPLETE LINE OF WRITING SERVICES"
 Monday - Friday 10 - 2 - (Summers)
 Mon. - Fri. 9:30 - 4:30 - Sat. 11 - 4 - (Fall-Spring)

Legal ABORTION
 You have a CHOICE
 CALL AT OUR EXPENSE
 212-442-0262
 A NON-PROFIT SERVICE

ARTISTS
 Submit Line Drawings for
 1972-73 STUDENT HANDBOOK COVER
 The Student Whose Illustration is Chosen
 WILL RECEIVE \$15
 Submit Entry to
 PUBLIC RELATIONS OFFICE
 118 Milbank Hall
 BY MAY 12
 For Further Information, Call Ext. 2037

CASH PAID FOR TEXTBOOKS!
 PAPYRUS will buy back all current editions for the HIGHEST cash prices.
 Come in anytime of the day or night.
 We're open 7 days a week till midnight
 Friday and Saturday till 1:00 a.m.
 P.S. We sell the N.Y. Times on Saturday night and Sunday for your convenience.
PAPYRUS BOOKSELLERS
 2815 BROADWAY • NEW YORK, N.Y. 10025
 RI 5-8860

BARNARD BULLETIN

Barnard College, 107 McIntosh Center, New York, N. Y. 10027

Telephone — 286-2119

Published weekly throughout the college year except during vacation and examination periods by the students of Barnard College, in the interests of the Barnard Community. Available to subscribers yearly for \$8.00.

Editor-in-Chief
CAROL RICHARDS
Assistant Editor
LAURA ABEVETTI
Business Manager
ELLEN McMAHUS

CARTOONIST JAN OSCHERWITZ

STAFF: Jerry Groopman, Sara Solberg, Susan Kane, Diane Bernstein, Michelle Friedman, Linda Spiegel, Sonia Taitz, Stephanie Spinoso, Jenny Bremer, Katie Gowdry, Barbara Whitner, Jill Woolman, Derral Walsh, Betty Groban, Nadine Feller, Miriam Frank, Donna Redel, Priscilla Konecky, Marge Ann Sullivan.

Printed by Sara Printing Co.
176 W. 18 Street



Good Luck on Exams . . . Have a Wonderful Summer!

Again, the War

As our parents' attitudes and goals were inextinguishably shaped by the Depression and the Second World War there is little question that ours will forever be marked by the War in Indochina and our futile efforts to end it. We have grown from children terrified by the prospects of Communism, Nuclear Attack by the Russians and missiles in Cuba to young adults even more frightened about the reality of our own nation's power and destructiveness. Our mass participation in anti-war protests has been one of the identifying qualities of our generation. As our parents told us the stories where they were and what they were doing when Pearl Harbor was attacked, so will we recount to our children our participation in the 1970 Strike and how we felt when we learned about the deaths at Kent State University and Jackson State College.

We could have been watching "This Is Your Life" Monday night when we watched President Nixon's speech because we'd seen and heard the whole scenario so many times before. Yet it was still numbing and shocking that one man could have all that power. One man could single-handedly order the mining of all North Vietnamese Harbors along with the heaviest bombing of that country in four years. He was able to do this without the consent of Congress or the people of this nation not to mention the people of Vietnam. The fact that his actions constitute largely a face saving maneuver for the United States and for "the office of the Presidency" absolutely boggles the mind. Tom Wicker wrote in the *New York Times* on Tuesday that our President has become an Emperor. Wicker couldn't have said it better.

It is tragic and frightening that the War in Vietnam is a heavier and wider War as the Class of '72 is about to graduate college than it was when they graduated high school. And this is after years of protests have rocked our nation and campuses. It is no wonder that we feel so powerless. If we have learned anything here at Barnard it is that change is so hard to effect.

Yet we must keep trying to end this war even though our efforts have so far been met with defeat. Columbia deans are joining students and faculty in Washington to lobby for anti-war legislation. BULLETIN hopes that the Barnard community, including administration, will not remain quiet.

We feel, however, that ultimately the answer lies in removing Richard Nixon from the Presidency. George McGovern who has said that he would stop the bombing of N. Vietnam as his first Presidential act, would provide a meaningful alternative to the machismo-linked War policies of the last two Presidents.

McGovern's success in the primaries has largely been due to his ability to attract a large and enthusiastic group of volunteers. New York is a vital primary for McGovern and his campaign headquarters hopes to swamp the state with volunteers in the next month. We feel that working for the Presidential Candidacy of George McGovern is the best way to help end the war, and urge Barnard students to do so. Perhaps, then, this War can be finally ended.

In The Morning Mail

"Right to Life"

To the Editor:

Your editorial of May 4th dismisses the opponents of liberalized abortion as "so-called 'right to life' groups" and asks "... what meaning the phrase 'right to life' has when men and women cannot choose the kind of lives they want to lead?" This is shabby arguing. If there are good reasons for a liberalized abortion law, then these should be stated; and a mocking misrepresentation of opposing views should be unnecessary.

As I understand the anti-abortion groups' argument their main assumption is that a right to life is more fundamental than any of the rights invoked in favor of abortion (e.g. the right to control one's own body, or the right to choose a kind of life). Hence, the right of the fetus to live takes precedence over the right of the mother to avoid the burden of pregnancy, however heavy this burden may be.

This is a respectable argument and its cogent refutation is quite difficult. The usual move made accepts the liberalization premises of the anti-abortionists but claims that the fetus is not a person and so has no rights, and hence no right to life. The difficulty with this move is that the criteria for being a person are not clear. Is it on grounds of lacking some set of capacities for thought or enjoyment, that the fetus is not a person? Or is it on the ground that the fetus is not viable apart from the mother. I do not know how to determine the criteria of personhood, but whichever of these sets of criteria is chosen, the implications may be unacceptable to many proponents of a right to abortion. (To the so-called "right to abortion" groups)

If personhood requires certain sensory or intellectual or emotional capacities which a fetus lacks, then other similarly defective humans must also be held non-persons, and so with out rights and so may legitimately be killed. Euthanasia in such cases would have to be held as permissible as abortion

— and notice that we are not talking of voluntary euthanasia, but of the killing of those who are unable to indicate their desires.

Viability is however, the favorite candidate as a criterion of personhood and the limits of permissible abortion. The argument — implicit in current legislation — concedes that a fetus near term has rights, since if born it would be viable and so a person, but denies rights to fetuses which if delivered are not viable. The line of demarcation now proposed is, however, not a biological given but a function of medical technology. Today fetuses are viable at a much earlier stage of development than was previously the case.

Such progress may presumably be extended. If we try to argue for liberalized abortion on the grounds that being a person requires viability we will end up saying that whether a being is a person depends not on what that being is or may become, but on what the state of technology is. Such an assumption flies in the very face of the very libertarian tradition within which both sides of this argument are being conducted. For that tradition holds that rights are attributable to sentient beings on grounds of possession or potential possession of very general moral or intellectual capacities, and not on the grounds that the conditions necessary to realize these potentials obtain. To drop this latter assumption would commit one to holding that those who died of starvation in Biafra or in Bangladesh had no right to survive since the means for their doing so was unavailable — and I suspect most who use libertarian arguments would not want to be committed to such a view.

If we want to find convincing arguments for liberalized abortion laws I suspect we shall have to go outside the libertarian tradition, and test our beliefs on some very strong assumptions about the overriding importance of quantity over quality of life. Some of us may not find such premises and their corollaries acceptable.

In the meantime it seems important to consider the issue a

little more calmly. To argue for the impermissibility of abortion does not mean arguing for compulsory childbearing, or for the abrogation of a woman's right to control her own body (as a matter of fact some anti-abortion groups are rather keen on this), or for controls on contraception or for forced sterilization. Let us try to keep separate problems separate.

Onora O'Neill

Dear Editor:

Re: "Save the Abortion Law" editorial, Thursday, May 4, 1972. "What rights does an unwanted child have?" What rights do Russian Jews have, or American Blacks, or South Africans, Greeks, or Irish? Your question represents the fundamental irrationality and idiosyncrasy of poor position. You could have been a bit more discreet substituting "fetuses" for "child." Some people (wrongly disposed) believe that the fetus does not gain the rights of a "child" or "human being" until a certain number of weeks have passed. But you have come right out and said that it is a child, affirming that the fetus is more than just a mass of organic material without a right to life. Your argument terrifies me and makes me cringe at the prospects for the future.

This age is characterized by an immense selfishness and self concern. We speak of rights, but you don't understand what constitutes a right. You merely mouth empty phrases. I urge you to base your position on the genuine irrationality of your argument. If you but consider your assumption that the unwanted child has no rights, then what is to prevent you from saying, "What rights do my unwanted parents have when they become old," or "What right does this person who disagrees with me have?" I pity you.

Sincerely,
Thomas C. Mele
College, 73.

Ed. Note:

I would like to clarify a point that I made in my editorial last week. When I stated "What rights does an unwanted (Continued on Page 1)

A.J. Lieblich Counter-Convention

"And in the Third Ring..."

By SALLY BUTTON

It was labeled "journalism's Woodstock" and, at the end of two days of non-stop panel discussions, there couldn't be a better comparison. All the superstars of the American press and electronic media were gathered together to accept the worshipful adulation of more than 1,500 in the audience and to hold one long encounter session on stage.

A convention of journalists is no different than one of Shriners, hatters, or, for that matter, newspaper publishers, who were convening across town at the Waldorf-Astoria, and who were ostensibly the impetus for this A.J. Lieblich Counter-Convention of reporters, sponsored by MORU, the year-old New York journalism review.

A.J. Lieblich wrote a column for the New Yorker called "The Wayward Press," a regular feature until his death in 1963. His observation that, "The American Newspaper Publishers Association convention reaches here the same season

as the Ringling Brothers and Barnum and Bailey Circus Lake the 'Big Show,' the convention always bears a certain resemblance to its predecessors." It seemed that the Martin Luther King Labor Center had its share of animal acts this year, however.

Panels were dominated by trendy topics such as "The New Journalism," "Racism, Sexism, and Ethicism," and "Should There Be a Women's Page?" Vietnam, and the sears created there by the American press, was curiously omitted from discussion.

The faces behind the by-lines were there for all to see and hear, and hear I sat next to one man who had flown in from Texas just for the event. "I'm a media freak," he said. "They'll be talking about this for a long time, and I just wanted to be here."

Winston Churchill said, "It is better to be making news than taking it, to be an actor rather than a critic." For the first time, those anonymous people who take down the



Jack Newfield

events in everyone else's world were given a chance to make their own, and they did it in style.

Newspack, Time, The Village Voice, the New York dailies, WBAL and Sterling Cable Television covered the pro-

ceedings for those who couldn't make it downtown to witness Tom Wolfe Abuse Hoffman Otis Preminger (one might ask what he had to do with American journalism. Answer: he doesn't like his pre-coverage.) Gloria Steinem, Dick Schapp, Dan Rafter, Joe M. Shupess, David Halberstam, Renata Adler Murray Kemp

ton Gaoe Preverton Tony Lucas Charlotte Lurie Jeff Greenfield, Edwin Goplin, Tony Randall (same question and answer as above) Shlens Znan, Seymour Hersh Jack Newfield and assorted other names ad differentials lined up to consider the problem of writing news in these United States in 1972.

My one conclusion writers shouldn't attempt to speak in public. The 1,500 pages of eager ears heard first drafts of every one's collective article which would be entitled How I could set the world on its ear but they won't give me an ad. The traditional complainers were aired publisher control of news, lack of money for good writers discrimination in the newscroom too much reliance on official sources too little time. As a general rule, however it served its purpose. But concrete solutions and substantive proposals were difficult to pin down as a ticket to the Tom Walker luncheon on Monday.

An A.J. Lieblich poster proclaimed to all participants a live observation that "A free press is guaranteed only to those who own one. The complaining writers could have benefited from considerable some of the issues which the employees were discussing, the publishers' convention across town. Given the desirability for more diversity free news and experimentation in coverage and attitude toward 'dom' — what makes it and how it is and should be told to the public is the only answer just out of reach is more — on every side, in every facet of coverage. More newspapers

television magazines a free print period. Let the writers decide not the editors or the unpaid reporters either.

One donor was provided by Jim Smith a young Black male who has written a novel (called Brooklyn Today) assessing the problems of local coverage provided in

the news in the Daily News and JET at all in the Manhattan or the New York Times and the rewritten Post, Brooklyn Today is funded by a unique non-profit corporation which has had money lent to it by several large sources in Kings County on their year note money on an interest free basis. Smith distributed a prototype of the convention, daily publication will begin in September.

It is not much but it is beginning. The citizens of Brooklyn 2,000,000 strong will begin to hear some of the news which affects them daily — no just the tenement fire in Bed St, E.P. the political deals made between John Cross and Mayor Esposito for judgehips and if that have the guts the only of the real God-fearer Lord — the Brooklyn's writers.

No one gets his news from a newspaper anymore. Walter Cronkite and WINS tell you the latest live and on air. But neither car you climb out the six o'clock news or read Eric Sevareid or the subv. The need for reform is mandated, but diversity is one solution. Joseph Palmer said it all: accuracy is to a new per what virtue is a woman? but accuracy and accuracy are news and different conditions that did 70 years of A.P. Stone "accepting the first Lieblich award for outstanding journalism at 18 on Oct 14, remarked. The ruin is so something so complex and so intricate that nobody has the skill to use it of it. But the Gift is produced by a multi-faceted media diverse and expert critical in nature must surely provide an element of truth. Brooklyn Today, Oct 1972, The World?

PARTING SHOTS

The Nature of Things at Barnard

By JENNY BREMER

I'm not sure exactly what I set out to accomplish in my year as Undergrad President, but whatever it was I know I didn't accomplish it. I got into this whole thing through a very spur of the moment, unanalyzed decision made on the last possible day. I felt a vague desire to protest the very nature of things at Barnard, the malaise which hung almost palpably around the campus, drenching it in a sort of damp despair and boredom.

Student governments are not known for their effectiveness or for their responsiveness — I certainly did not enter the office with any illusions as to the power of Undergrad on the committee system, even on this supposedly progressive campus. I did hope to be responsive to student needs and interests and to strengthen the student voice as much as possible, although the change might be

Nonetheless, I find that I have finished my term in office and things are pretty much the same as when I entered, and I find this disappointing. What, then, has been the purpose of it all? Aside from very personal benefits, nothing at all. I have learned a lot about government and politics, certainly more than any Barnard government course ever taught me. I have gotten a lot of free lunches and I have met a lot of interesting people.

To those who have been helpful to me, especially Ms. Meyers, I want to express my thanks for your advice and support. To those who have not, who shall remain nameless, I wish to express my thanks for the expression of your opinion which you have provided me, which I hope to put to good use later. But beyond that there is nothing that I can point to which justifies the inter-



Jenny Bremer

ally hundreds of hours of my time which, Undergrad devoured. If this all sounds somewhat bitter, it is because I feel a sharp sense of disappointment that nothing was accomplished. I feel this despite the fact that I had no real goals, and I expected little else from student government. The term student government is itself ludicrous: we do not govern anyone in any real sense of the word, at least of all students. We can, however, represent students to a certain extent, though this representation is pro forma only unless it is backed by strong student support.

We can express students' desires and ideas, though this expression becomes only a game when the administration and we ourselves know that the students have expressed no desires and present no ideas. How can I claim that students are incensed over tuition raises or anything else, when no voice is raised in protest, and why should the administration listen to my claims?

I realize that part of the blame for the lack of student effectiveness can be laid in Undergrad's lap. We had no clear idea of what we wanted to accomplish nor did we believe we could ac-

complish it if we tried. As a result much of the first semester was frittered away handling such diverse and inane issues as the Undergrad budget, elections and the educational status of the yearbook. When we did try to get down to action and called meetings to discuss the failures of the committee system or the need for a voting student on the trustee board, long office hours and wrote articles, however, there was no response. Some day I will figure out why.

It is understandable that students feel helpless to deal with large issues such as the war (though to stand dumb before the utter immorality of Vietnam is itself an immoral act), and it is also understandable that small issues such as the educational status of the yearbook should arouse only limited righteous indignation. But if the only response to unworkable situations of all descriptions is a collective sigh of resignation, redress even the pettiest of grievances will be a long time coming.

Writing as I am immediately following Nixon's announcement of the blockading of Hapshong Harbor, I can hardly call for massive protests of the cancellation of the Food Service even if I wanted to, but Barnard students respond as much to the one as to the other, which is to say, not at all. So it really does not matter what I say or whether I say anything at all, does it?

I began this year by making a plea to students to act on whatever issues appealed to them as important or within their influence, a plea which went unheeded. I end it by offering my best wishes and sympathy to those who follow me and with the cynical observation that people get a government only as good as they deserve, and in this case, fellow Barnard students, that is not very good at all.

Good Luck
- Mrs. Meyers



We Will All Miss You



"Lot Piece" by Rudy Perez & Co.

Tenth Series Of 'Dance Uptown'

Jerry Soames, Director of DANCE UPTOWN (a concert series based at Barnard College's Minor Latham Playhouse) has announced a Tenth Series to take place at four locations on the Barnard-Columbia Campus in May.

THE FRIDAY EVENING PROGRAM — MAY 12, 19, & 26 — will start with a large group work by Rudy Perez called LOT PIECE to be performed on Low Library Plaza at 7:15 p.m. The audience will then move into the Minor Latham Playhouse at 8:00 p.m. to see newly commissioned works by choreographers Maya Kobay, Sara Ruffner and Douglas Dunn, and Judith Willis. The final work will be viewed on Aitschul Plaza, to be performed by a new group called Movement Project, with a structure set by choreographer Art Bauman and a score by musician Eleanor Gilbert.

THE SATURDAY EVENING PROGRAM — MAY 12, 20, & 27 — will start at 7:15 p.m. on the Barnard Lawn with a new outdoor work by Cliff Keuter. At 8:00 p.m. at the Minor Latham Playhouse, the audience will see THE BEGINNING OF DINOSAUR LOVE by Toby Arnoist with her company a trio choreographed by Sandra Genies, and a quartet by Claudia Gittelman. This program will then move to Aitschul Plaza for Ian Levner's PIECE FOR MAGICIANS MUSICIANS AND DANCERS featuring jazz musicians Perry Robinson and Richard Youngstein.

In addition, a performance of Whitney Bergman's GRASS DANCE is planned as a special event for MAY 5th & 12th at 12 noon and 12:30 p.m. on the Barnard Lawn.

In case of rain, Cliff Keuter and Rudy Perez and their companies will perform at the Barnard gymnasium, and the Art Bauman and Ian Levner works will take place at the Minor Latham Playhouse.

Tickets are \$2.00 and \$1.00 with student ID and will be sold upon entry into the Minor Latham Playhouse at 8:00 p.m. on all evenings. For further information, please call Minor Latham Playhouse 290-2079.

This Tenth Series of DANCE UPTOWN is made possible with the support of the NEW YORK STATE COUNCIL ON THE ARTS.

CLASS OF 1973

SIGN UP

NOW

IN CAO

To Get Your

SENIOR PICTURE TAKEN

For The

1973 MORTARBOARD

Film Review

Haymische Ego

By JERRY GROOMMAN
I really don't want to write this review; not that it spoils the mood engendered by the film, only I fear I will fall short of communicating how much fun Woody Allen is in his new movie "Play It Again, Sam." How often do we spend two hours laughing hard at the unparalleled mishaps of the greatest mishap of this century? I had a cramp in my left side after the film, a testament to his creative genius. How often can we go into Radio City Music Hall and give each other electric shocks off its carpets and drink in all that 1930's WPA art on the walls? How often does a CP rated film cause four old buddies who sat behind us to peek up their Macy's shopping bags, straighten their ace-bandage stockings, and storm out in the middle? How often do Jewish humor reworked into a modern, pleasing form?

I go to Woody Allen films with two types of people; either girls I am attached to, or someone with a sense of humor. It is plain lousy going by yourself, because so much of the joy rests in that fast glance at your friend whose face registers the same laughter. Go when you're in a good mood, a bad mood, when exams are bothering you, the day of your last exam, when you're sick of school or when you're romantic about the city. It's a perfect treat, anytime of the day or night.

I know I shall never be a poet or novelist because I write stories or poems that merely surround one or two appealing lines which jump into my head. Thus the work is three-fourths garbage and one-quarter intriguing. Allen, however, splits at fifty-fifty, in that his previous two films, "Take the Money and Run" and "Bananas," both appeared to have been made for the sake of a slew of gags and one-liners. This one is different; there are still, to everyone's delight, a bushel of funny jokes and slapstick situations; but for the first time, there is more acting, and a bit more emotion.

The slump still bothers out a yachest (memorial) candle when looking for some intimate lighting to seduce a girlfriend and exclaims, when appraising a voluptuous dancing girl, "I would sell my mother to the Arabs for her," but one sense that the slump can do more than expand its shabbyness.

FUTURE CPA'S LEARN: How to prepare for the CPA exam. Becker CPA Review Course Call Collect:

New York (212) 751-6643
Long Island (516) 538-8176
White Plains (914) 438-2211
Newark (201) 622-1313



Woody Allen

Allen is awfully good, the way MAD magazine used to be. He is in a typical predicament of being dumped by a woman and now in search of a new one; he "strikes out" as ever, and comes off as the old pound weakling, balding, with a big Jewish nose and small haymische ego who is thrown around by the unfeeling real world. Yet here we have a spirit to guide the floundering soul, and that is the joy of Bogart. Allen is looking to recreate the character who, though tough and grumpy, reverses human relationships and comprehends how things should be. The film begins with the last scenes of "Casablanca" when Humphrey Bogart peels off his "tough" mask and is willing to sacrifice himself for the safe passage of two others, one a woman he loves. Allen repeats Bogart's lines verbatim at the end of his film, and somehow, it fits perfectly. Woody puts it, "I've been waiting all my life to say those lines." He has, he has been waiting all his life to give form to this essential good nature, a nature that was obscured not by his "leath" exterior but by the seashore facade that most people take as being him.

I made a list of "good" lines, but hold back on them, recognizing that they fall flat when out of context. Take it on faith that the film as a comedy is excellent. It lags at moments, and some of the shits become sorry and are overused, but in the end you know it was worth it.

The plot is a simple one. Alan (Woody Allen) is a film critic

of the dreamer variety that spends Saturday afternoons catching old films in half-deserted theaters. His wife, played by Susan Anastos of "Five Easy Pieces" fame, feels "unfulfilled" by him, and leaves. Desolate, he turns to two friends, Linda (Diane Keaton) and her husband Dick (Tony Roberts). They console him, and try to set him up with other girls. From the first, it is clear that Linda and Allan are "made for each other." Though she exhibits a refined non-Jewish beauty, she is a sensitive, hyper-theatrical, high strung girl that any Jewish mother would cherish. She is not a princess



Diane Keaton

by any means, being a bit too aware of her condition. Her husband, Dick, is overplayed as the efficient executive type, who calls into the answering service at every change in location. He ignores her and seems out of joint with her feelings; she slowly turns to Allan, a man whose sex appeal does not match hers and whose neuroses tend to be out of control in social situations.

They are an odd couple, but actually a good one. Although the homilies like "I love you for yourself" are dragged into it, there is a sense that they complement each other and achieve freedom of emotional expression in each other's presence. The affair does not force the comedy into pathetic or asinine emotion.

Go see "Play It Again, Sam." It helps if you're Jewish and from New York, but that's only half the game; you have to know how to laugh.

Cut the High Cost of Xerox

WHY PAY 7¢

Walk Downstairs and Save

COPYQUICK
600 W. 114 STREET

749-7650

ZOOPRAXIN GRAPHSCOPE

BRINGS TO THE SCREEN

"CULLODEN"

Tonight — May 11 — Lehman Auditorium, Aitschul Hall — Admission 75 Cents — 7:00 and 9:00 P.M.



Pictures talk. Some little boys don't.

Some inner-city ghettos have special schools. For little boys who don't talk.

Not mute little boys. But children so withdrawn, so afraid of failure, they cannot make the slightest attempt to do anything at which they might fail.

Some don't talk. Some don't listen. Most don't behave. And all of them don't learn.

One day someone asked us to help.

Through Kodak, cameras and film were distributed to teachers. The teachers gave the cameras to the kids and told them to take pictures.

And then the miracle. Little boys who had never said anything, looked at the pictures and began to talk. They said "This is my house." "This is my dog." "This is where I like

to hide." They began to explain, to describe, to communicate. And once the channels of communication had been opened, they began to learn.

We're helping the children of the inner-city. And we're also helping the adults. We're involved in inner-city job programs. To train unskilled people in useful jobs.

What does Kodak stand to gain from this? Well, we're showing how our products can help a teacher—and maybe creating a whole new market. And we're also cultivating young customers who will someday buy their own cameras and film. But more than that, we're cultivating alert, educated citizens who will someday be responsible for our society.

After all, our business depends on our society. So we care what happens to it.



More than a business.